1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING
7	
8	LARA BROOK SEEFELDT,
9	Petitioner,) Cause No. 16-3-06380-6 SEA
10	vs.) COA No.
11	ALBERT WHITNEY COBURN,
12	Respondent.)
13	
14	
15	Official record of proceedings Before the Honorable
16	Commissioner Pro Tem Michael Louden On December 23, 2016
17	In Seattle, Washington
18	
19	Prepared by: Jane Wilkinson AAERT Certified Transcriptionist, CET #691
20	Ballard Transcription 104 South Olympic Avenue, Suite 226
21	Arlington, WA 98223
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PETITIONER - LARA BROOK SEEFELDT:
4	Deborah A. Bianco, Attorney at Law
5	DEBORAH A BIANCO, PS
6	P.O. Box 6503
7	Bellevue, WA 98008-0503
8	Phone: (425)747-4500
9	
10	
11	FOR THE RESPONDENT - ALBERT WHITNEY COBURN:
12	Katherine Bruya, Attorney at Law
13	LAW OFFICE OF KATHY BRUYA
14	P.O. Box 1346
15	Auburn, WA 98071-1346
16	Phone: (253)951-9372
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ballard Transcription, L.L.C., Arlington, Washington 1.206.446.6579

1	INDEX
2	
3	Respondent's motion for temporary orders4
4	Argument by Respondent5
5	Argument by Petitioner8
6	Rebuttal
7	Court's ruling13
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
10 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Audio for December 23, 2016, begins at 9:11.) 1 * * * * * 2 BAILIFF: -- 6380-6 SEA. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. State your names for the 4 record, please. 5 MS. BRUYA: Thank you. My name is Kathy Bruya, and I б 7 represent Albert Coburn, who is to my right, who is the respondent and the moving party in this motion. 8 MS. BIANCO: Good morning, Your Honor. Debra Bianco 9 and I represent Laura Seefeldt who is to my left and the 10 responding party in this motion. 11 THE COURT: And this is father's motion for a 12 temporary parenting plan for 4-year-old Evelyn and also his 13 request to move back into the family home following a 9 14 15 year marriage. For the record, I'm not considering any of the hearsay 16 or the settlement negotiations that were in the pleadings. 17 The father did voluntarily move out of the home and on to a 18 sailboat a few months ago. And we've had some dispute 19 between the parties as to what the best future parenting 20 plan for Evelyn will be while entering a temporary 21 parenting plan today of course. 22 It's the father's motion so I will hear first from Ms. 23 Bruya. This is a busy calendar today so I'm going to limit 24 both of you to 5 minutes. 25

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

MS. BRUYA: All right, Your Honor, thank you. It sounds like you totally know what's happening so I'll be very brief.

1

2

3

We've tried to reach agreements. As you know the 4 mother has decided she's 100 percent in control of the 5 child. I don't know why she believes that. You have a б 7 timeline before you that's very descriptive with regard to my client's time with the child. From September to January 8 of 2016 he was unemployed. He was there full-time caring 9 for the child. The mother works nights and weekends with 10 dancing. He often had exclusive care of the child. Т 11 think it's very illustrative of the events and the 12 activities and that he was every bit a part of this child's 13 life as the mother was. 14

Now Evelyn, I believe she's 5 now. She has some
special issues, but she's got a very manageable calendar.
She has special therapy in the morning, then she know goes
to a public preschool, a public daycare if necessary.
She's not unlike a lot of other 5 year olds.

Now, I think, Your Honor, you can kind of see where things started to go sideways is when the mother decided, after my client had relocated temporarily, after he had relocated they used to use that joint calendar. You have an email with regard to that in there. And then the mother decided, after my client was out of the house, "You no

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

longer get to be a part of the joint calendar." This started because he said, "I want to start bringing in my residential calendar time in the calendar." She said, "No way, no can do. You're out of the calendar."

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

Then he sent her a proposed parenting plan. I think it's very illustrative in that proposed parenting plan of his knowledge of Evelyn's issues. And he was trying to craft a parenting plan that was somewhat consistent with what's been going on. Naturally that was rejected.

10 Then the mom decides to file for divorce. She files 11 the proposed parenting plan limiting my client to six hours 12 a week. That's it. She claims there's domestic violence 13 and child abuse. She put some meat on the bones of that 14 claim when she filed her responsive declaration.

15 First of all, she spends 4 pages talking about drinking when she never even brought up drinking before in 16 the proposed parenting plan. But then she talks about two 17 18 incidences, Your Honor -- and you have them before you, you know what they are -- the couch incident which occurred 19 back in 2014 and the biting incident with Evelyn which was 20 in 2015. The mother's never sought a protection order, 21 never sought a restraining order. Her claims are not true. 22 My client says, "This is exactly what happened, these were 23 accidents, that's all there is to it. There's no basis to 24 limit my time with the child." 25

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

The mother is all about downplaying my client's role and her belief that for some reason she should be totally in control. We have wanted residential time in the boat, residential time at a friend's house, residential time at the sister's. Asked if my client could take the child to his mother's house. She says, no, that doesn't work because the child lives by the woods. You have an email before you that shows the mother has already allowed my client to take the child to his mother's home.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

Now, here's the reality. Here's the deal with this situations: Finances. These parties cannot comfortably, or otherwise, afford two households. The issue here with this is the mom has three different places she can go. She can move in with her mom. Both of her parents have additional homes. She could go live at one of those and it wouldn't cost the parties anything else.

So my client's original proposal was let me back in the house. He wanted to just stay in the basement, "let me live in the basement. That's a separate entrance." Of course that's not going to work. My client says, "Let me back in the house then you go stay at one of the places where you can do this for free."

What we have to remember is the mom is going to have to start working full-time. Right now she's had this benefit of evenings and weekends and whatnot. She's going

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

to have to start working full-time. So what's going to happen is they're both going to be in the same boat. My client can, just as good as the mom, get back in the family home, have his mother help with the residential time just like her mother help with residential time. That's the fist request.

1

2

3

4

5

б

Second request is if that's not going to fly with the
Court then please give my client some kind of meaningful
residential time. There's no basis to limit the time.
There's no history of any limitation. She knowingly and
willingly left every -- left all the nights and weekends
with the child alone, even after making these claims now
that there was some problem in 2014-2015.

14THE COURT: Okay. You have a minute for rebuttal.15MS. BRUYA: Thank you.

MS. BIANCO: Thank you, Your Honor, and I'll attempt to be brief. I think we've pretty much laid out the facts in our declaration.

We have a special needs child here. She's 5 years old. She can't put together a sentence. She doesn't speak. She's not fully potty trained and there have been issues around that. There have been issue with the father losing his temper when the child is defiant, which, given her condition, she is. He isn't a able to care for her on a regular basis. He hasn't cared for her on a regular

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

basis. Much of the time that he has cared for her the child has been asleep. It's been at night when my client has been working.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

It is noteworthy, I think, that the father makes no denial whatsoever of the allegations regarding his drinking, which my client says very clearly not only were there several really bad incidents but it became a pattern of behavior that continued throughout the marriage and was an activity that happened on weekdays as well as on weekends.

Given the concerns we have raised about the father, 11 about his drinking, about his lack of experience with the 12 child -- and I think you see it even in his declaration. 13 He doesn't really talk about performing the daily tasks of 14 15 parenting. What he talks about is playing with the child. He plays with her. He reads to her. He doesn't talk about 16 actually bathing her, feeding her, getting her through the 17 18 routine of the day which is no small task given her special needs. She has difficulty with transitions, which is one 19 reason that we are saying that it is not appropriate for 20 the Court to order that the mother and the minor child be 21 relocated from the family home. 22

Fact of the matter is the father lived on this boat for six years. He can continue to live on the boat during the pendency of this matter until the Court can figure out

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

what's going to happen with the house. It is not fair or appropriate to say that my client needs to go live with her parents. Her parents are not responsible for providing her a place to live. The houses they have are rental houses and they're rented. They're not extra houses that my client can just go live in. And her parents have no obligation to support her.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

She is working. But it is the respondent who has the 8 obligation, and there's no reason that she and the minor 9 child should be dislocated from the house. They should be 10 permitted to remain in the house. The respondent should 11 12 stay on the sailboat. We propose that, pending an evaluation that takes into account this child's special 13 needs and the father's history of alcohol consumption, the 14 15 proposed -- the parenting plan should be what we proposed and the father should have time with the child on Sundays. 16

17 THE COURT: Are you making any specific proposal for 18 an evaluation?

MS. BIANCO: I am, Your Honor. I think an evaluation is needed because of the child's special needs. I think we also have an issue, frankly, the court doesn't have any financial documents before it in terms of what the parties can afford to do.

THE COURT: It doesn't sound like parties can afford much in terms of an evaluation.

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

MS. BIANCO: And I think that is accurate. I don't 1 think they can afford very much. Maybe the best they can 2 afford is family court services, which I think is 3 unfortunate because given this child's pretty significant 4 special needs -- I mean if you look at what her history is 5 in terms of -- or her schedule is, she's getting a lot of б 7 therapy, which is great, that's a good thing for a child with this condition at this age and it's likely to make a 8 long-term difference, but that also needs to continue and I 9 think that whatever decision is made about a parenting plan 10 needs to take into account information from the 11 pediatrician, the therapist, and others who are neutral and 12 who can speak to the child's best interests. 13

But the reality is that this father hasn't been 14 15 terribly involved with this child. I'm not saying he's been uninvolved, but my client has clearly been the primary 16 parent and should continue to be. This is not a child that 17 you can just say, "Well, let's try this out. We'll do the 18 schedule for a little while and, if that doesn't work, 19 we'll adjust it." This child is going to have a reaction, 20 a pretty significant reaction to changes in her schedule. 21

They are working hard to maintain the routine. You know, even through the Christmas holidays, her therapy schedule remains the same. And that continuity and consistency is very, very important for her because of her

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

22

23

24

25

condition.

1

2

3

4

5

б

15

16

25

So I am asking the Court, rather than enter the father's proposed parenting plan, to refer this case for evaluation and also to send the father for a substance abuse evaluation so that a parenting plan that is truly in this child's interests can be what comes out.

7 Just one last comment. As I read through this whole thing I kept thinking, you know, the father isn't focused 8 at all on this child's best interests. He's focused on his 9 rights. And while I appreciate that he does have some 10 rights, the Court has to consider the child's best 11 interests and to -- the parents' rights are really 12 subservient to the child's best interests. My client has 13 consistently seen to those. 14

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Bruya?

MS. BRUYA: Family court services is perfectlyacceptable.

There's no motion before the Court for a drug and
alcohol assessment. All those drinking examples were back
before Evelyn were born. And she didn't even bring it up
in her parenting plan initially that she filed. Your
Honor -MS. BIANCO: That's not true.

MS. BRUYA: It's not in there.

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

1

MS. BIANCO: Yes, it is.

MS. BRUYA: Your Honor, you either allow the child to 2 be with somebody or you don't. My client has been allowed 3 to care for this child. When he left she took control. 4 That's the only thing that's changed. The child is not 5 unsafe with my client. You've seen the timeline. He's had б 7 multiple activities, multiple events, multiple care. If you are concerned with the child's safety then you ask for 8 supervised visitation. You don't suggest six hours on 9 Sunday. 10

My client has places he can stay. She knows who they are. He's been trusted to take care of the child all this time. He should at least have some meaningful residential time. His original --

15 THE COURT: A restriction on the amount of time is an 16 alternative to supervised time, but I'm -- I think I know 17 what I'm going to do in this case.

18

MS. BRUYA: Okay.

19 THE COURT: As the father did voluntarily move out of 20 the home and while in his mind he may have been thinking 21 one thing and in the mother's mind she may have been 22 thinking something else, but the status quo at this point 23 is he has an acceptable place to leave and I'm not going to 24 reorganize the living arrangements of the parties at this 25 time. The mother remains in the community property home.

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

While she may have relatives who she could prevail upon as another place to go, the relatives have no obligation to take her in. And while the current situation is not ideal and may not be permanently sustainable, particularly on the father's side, it will do for now. So mother will have the right to continue residence in the family home.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8 There was a request for joint financial restraints. 9 That much I will enter.

10 The hard question here, of course, is a temporary 11 parenting plan. The father has been involved in this 12 child's life and in her care. He's enrolled in and is 13 taking classes in caring for an autistic child. His 14 declaration indicates some thoughtfulness about his 15 discipline and his parenting.

16 That said, the mother has been the one primarily 17 responsible for Evelyn's care, including her basic daily 18 needs, including arranging appointments and following 19 through with many experts who are working on Evelyn's 20 behalf here. So that's been the history, particularly over 21 the last year.

I do not see any basis for a restriction or limitation on the father's residential time based on anything he has done. The minor incidents where he reacted strongly to Evelyn are understandable, particularly given the child

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

Evelyn is, but that doesn't create a concern with the Court about domestic violence. I don't see any pattern of abusive control by the father. Just dealing with the challenge of raising an especially difficult child.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

I am concerned about the father's history of alcohol abuse and for that reason he shall not be under the influence of any alcohol during his residential time.

8 I'm not going to order a substance abuse evaluation at 9 this time. That request is denied without prejudice. It 10 can be raised again.

11 I'm concerned about the father's behavior, the sort of 12 power play that he engaged in at one of the exchanges, but, 13 with the advice of counsel, I'm confident that that will 14 not happen again.

15 I do have some concern about the father's living situation on the boat in terms of it being an appropriate 16 place for residential time with Evelyn. The mother has 17 raised concerns about whether his mother's home or sister's 18 home are appropriate places for visits, but nothing she has 19 said indicates that the father is not able to make 20 appropriate -- or take appropriate safety precautions. 21 So I'm not going to restrict the father's -- the location of 2.2 where the father exercises his residential time. I'11 23 leave it to his discretion to make appropriate arrangements 24 to ensure that Evelyn is safe during his time. 25

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

I do have concerns, in implementing a temporary 1 parenting plan, about Evelyn's special needs and the -- and 2 the effect of any change to her schedule. Which is not to 3 say that there's a problem with the father but that 4 dramatic changes to her schedule are going to be a 5 particular challenge to her. At the same time, she б 7 deserves to have a relationship with her father. She will have -- it's in her best interests to have a continued 8 strong relationship with her father. And the challenge is 9 how to create and maintain a schedule which works for --10 for her and gives the father a good deal of residential 11 time. 12

So what I'm going to do is say the first and third 13 weekends of the month the father will have visits from 14 15 Saturday morning to Sunday evening. So I would ask the attorneys, see if you can find a good time. In my mind I'm 16 thinking something like 9:00 a.m. Saturday morning to 6:00 17 18 p.m. Sunday evening, but, if that's right in the middle of something else that's going on in Evelyn's life or one of 19 the parties' lives, then adjust those times. 20

Every fourth weekend I'd like him to have from Friday evening to Sunday evening, and every Wednesday evening for up to four hours with a return no later than 8:00 p.m. So if he can start his Wednesday time at 4:00 p.m. then he can do that, but the return shouldn't be later than 8:00

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

assuming that that gives her enough time to do a bedtime routine -- oh, 7:30. Okay, we'll return at 7:00. Up to four hours but return at 7:00.

And I will refer the case to family court services for 4 evaluation. The good news is that that will be thousands 5 of dollars cheaper than a private evaluator. The bad news б 7 is you have to wait a long time for them to actually get started, but it's within your budget and gets something at 8 least on the books in terms of an actual referral. You can 9 note that that's without prejudice if either party wants to 10 seek appointment of a private evaluator and can show the 11 financing to make that happen. 12

MS. BIANCO: Your Honor -THE COURT: Any questions?
MS. BRUYA: Yes.

1

2

3

25

Wait, wait. I have one other thing I 16 THE COURT: wanted to say. It seems to me that the mother has been 17 18 managing the health care decisions for Evelyn. I'm not specifically saying it's sole decision-making. 19 She will have the right to continue managing those decisions. 20 The father has the right to object by bringing a motion in 21 So if there's something that's beyond the pale then 22 court. he can challenge it, but I want to maintain the existing 23 pattern of therapies as much as possible. 24

Yes, Ms. Bianco?

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

1	MS. BIANCO: I just wanted to be sure, Your Honor.
2	What I understand you saying is he has the first and third
3	weekends from Saturday morning to Sunday evening.
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. BIANCO: And the fourth weekend from Friday to
6	Sunday so he gets three weekends out of four every month.
7	THE COURT: Correct.
8	MS. BIANCO: And every Wednesday?
9	THE COURT: Yes, every Wednesday for up to
10	MS. BIANCO: up to four hours.
11	THE COURT: Correct.
12	MS. BIANCO: Are you making any orders about holidays?
13	THE COURT: No. If you want to include a period of
14	vacation time I'd rather avoid vacations I guess.
15	And let's see I'm going to have to do this a lot
16	today Christmas is Sunday so I want if you can agree
17	on a 4-hour visit either on Sunday or Monday, figure out if
18	he will have either Sunday or Monday and the first weekend
19	will not be starting tomorrow but starting a week from now.
20	MS. BRUYA: And, Your Honor, for the Friday to Sunday,
21	what time? After work Friday?
22	THE COURT: Yes, after work Friday, 5:00, 6:00 p.m.,
23	something like that.
24	MS. BIANCO: So the first weekend is the weekend of
25	New Year's.

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

THE COURT: Yes.

1

16

17

2 MS. BIANCO: And actually that is the fifth weekend of 3 the month.

THE COURT: Okay. So we're going -- so the first weekend is going to be the following week. So we're going to wait two weeks to start the weekends. Between now and then he'll get time on Monday, Wednesday, Wednesday and in -- I'll call it a New Year's Day visit. New Year's Eve or New Year's Day he should have an additional four hours then.

11 MS. BIANCO: So four hours on Sunday or Monday and 12 four hours on Christmas Eve?

13THE COURT: Yes. And four hours on Wednesday and four14hours on another Wednesday and then we're into the15weekends.

MS. BIANCO: I'm sorry, four hours on New Year's Eve? THE COURT: I think Ms. Bruya has it.

18 MS. BRUYA: I got it.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MS. BRUYA: And then we'll start at January 7th starts 21 weekends.

22 THE COURT: Right, yes.

23 MS. BRUYA: Okay.

24 THE COURT: Thank you.

25 MS. BRUYA: Thank you.

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

1	MS. BIANCA: Thank you.
2	
3	* * * * *
4	(Audio for December 23, 2016, ends at 9:35.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Colloquy - December 23, 2016

1	
2	AFFIDAVIT
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON) COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)
4	
5	I, the undersigned AAERT Certified Transcriptionist do hereby certify under penalty of perjury:
6 7	That the annexed and foregoing audio- or video-recording was taken stenographically before me and reduced to typewriting under my direction;
8 9 10	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;
11	I further certify that the recording as transcribed, is a
12	full, true and correct transcript of the audio, (to the degree audible);
13	
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of June 2022.
15	\bigcap $(1) \cap (1)$
16	JANE WILKINSON JANE WILKINSON WAERT Certified Transcriptionist
17	in and for the State of Washington, residing at Arlington, Washington.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	